

Anthony R. Bisconti, State Bar No. 269230
tbisconti@bklwlaw.com

BIENERT KATZMAN LITTRELL WILLIAMS LLP
360 W. 2nd Street, Ste. 625
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Telephone (213) 528-3400
Facsimile (949) 369-3701

Attorneys for Specially-Appearing Defendants
TL x HF LLC and Loyalist, LLC

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

COLLEGESTREET IMPORT & EXPORT (TIANJIN) CO., LTD.,

Case No. 4:23-cv-02303-AGT

Plaintiff,

5

XL X HF LLC, LOYALIST, LLC, and
DOES 1 -20, inclusive,

**SPECIALLY APPEARING
DEFENDANTS TL X HF LLC
AND LOYALIST, LLC'S
RESPONSE TO OSC RE:
SUBJECT-MATTER
JURISDICTION IDKT. NO. 21**

Defendants.

[Removed from the Superior Court of
the State of California, County of
Alameda]

Alameda Superior Court
Case No. 23CV028584

Action Filed: March 2, 2023
Trial Date: None Set

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 Defendants Loyalist LLC (“Loyalist”) and XL X HF LLC (“XLxHF” and,
 2 together with Loyalist, “Defendants”) submit this response to the Court’s *OSC Re:*
 3 *Subject Matter Jurisdiction* (the “OSC”) entered August 11, 2023. Dkt. No. 21, as
 4 follows:

5 Defendants have carefully reviewed the OSC and appreciate the time and
 6 attention the Court and its staff has given this matter. Defendants believe their
 7 unchallenged notice of removal of this case contains sufficient allegations, which
 8 are undisputed, for this Court to maintain subject-matter jurisdiction over this
 9 proceeding. *See, e.g. Dart Cherokee Basin Operating Co., LLC v. Owens*, 574 U.S.
 10 81, 87 (2014) (“. . . a defendant seeking to remove a case to a federal court must file
 11 in the federal forum a notice of removal containing a short and plain statement of
 12 the grounds for removal. § 1446(a). By design, § 1446(a) tracks the general pleading
 13 requirement stated in Rule 8(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.) (internal
 14 quotation and citation omitted). “The legislative history of § 1446(a) is
 15 corroborative. Congress, by borrowing the familiar ‘short and plain statement’
 16 standard from Rule 8(a), intended to simplify the pleading’ requirements for
 17 removal and to clarify that courts should apply the same liberal rules [to removal
 18 allegations] that are applied to other matters of pleading.” *Id.* (internal citations and
 19 quotations omitted) (disapproving decisions requiring “detailed pleading”).

20 Notwithstanding the foregoing, and while reserving all arguments
 21 Defendants have raised in their motion to dismiss this case, Defendants do not
 22 otherwise oppose the Court’s remand to Alameda County superior court if the Court
 23 determines that it does not have subject-matter jurisdiction over these proceedings
 24 based on the record currently before it.

25 Dated: August 18, 2023

**BIENERT KATZMAN
LITTRRELL WILLIAMS LLP**
 26 By: /s/ Anthony R. Bisconti
 Anthony R. Bisconti
 27 Attorneys for Defendants

28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on August 18, 2023, I electronically transmitted the attached document to the Clerk's Office using the CM/ECF System for filing and transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing to the CM/ECF registrants who have entered their appearance as counsel of record.

/s/ Toni Thomas

Toni Thomas